## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Anthony Villa,	) CASE NO. 1:08 CV 1234
Petitioner,	)  JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
vs.	)
Philip Kernes, Warden	) <u>Memorandum of Opinion and Order</u>
Respondent.	) )

## **Introduction**

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate

Judge McHargh (Doc. 33) which recommends denial of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

pending before the Court. Petitioner failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation.

For the following reasons, the Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED.

## **Facts**

Following a jury trial, petitioner was convicted of murder, aggravated murder, and felonious assault arising from a shooting in a bar. He was sentenced to 23 years to life in prison. His Petition raises 13 grounds for relief. The Magistrate Judge concluded that grounds one, three, five, part of six, seven, eight, twelve, and thirteen are procedurally defaulted. The

remaining grounds were found to fail on the merits. Petitioner did not object to any of the

Magistrate Judge's findings.

**Standard of Review** 

Rule 8(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts

provides, "The judge must determine de novo any proposed finding or recommendation to which

objection is made. The judge may accept, reject, or modify any proposed finding or

recommendation." When no objections have been filed this Court need only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. See

Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.

**Discussion** 

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation for clear error and finding no

such error, hereby accepts it. Further, the Report and Recommendation is fully incorporated

herein by reference. For these reasons, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed.

Conclusion

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted. The Petition for Writ of

Habeas Corpus is denied. Furthermore, for the reasons stated herein and in the Report and

Recommendation, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from

this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a

certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed.R.App.P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Dated: 4/23/12

2